arXiv:1510.00290v1 [math.PR] 1 Oct 2015

Asymptotic Normality of In- and Out-Degree Counts in a Preferential Attachment Model

Tiandong Wang and Sidney I. Resnick *

October 2, 2015

Abstract

Preferential attachment in a directed scale-free graph is widely used to model the evolution of social networks. Statistical analyses of social networks often relies on node based data rather than conventional repeated sampling. For our directed edge model with preferential attachment, we prove asymptotic normality of node counts based on a martingale construction and a martingale central limit theorem. This helps justify estimation methods based on the statistics of node counts which have specified in-degree and out-degree.

Keywords: In-degree, out-degree, preferential attachment, random graphs, power laws, multivariate heavy tails, asymptotic normality.

1 Introduction

Preferential attachment for both undirected and directed scale-free graphs has been introduced in the literature as a model for the growth of social networks (cf. [2],[3] and [8, 10]). Preferential attachment can model broader contexts such as the web graph, citation graph, co-author graph, etc. So more attention is now placed upon the directed case where each node has at least two characteristics, namely in- and out-degree.

Let $N_n(i,j)$ be the number of nodes with in-degree i and out-degree j in a simplified directed preferential attachment model at nth step of the growth of the network. [3] showed that $N_n(i,j)/n \to p_{ij}$ for fixed i and j, and provided an explicit form of (p_{ij}). Furthermore, we know that the limiting degree sequence (p_{ij}) has both marginally and jointly regularly varying tails (cf. [3], [13] and [14]). However, what remains an open issue for these models is rigorous justification of methods of statistical analyses based on data from social networks. Therefore, the object of this paper is to examine the asymptotic normality of $N_n(i,j)$ with the idea that this asymptotic normality can justify statistical estimation methods in practice. Using the martingale central limit theorem, we will show this asymptotic normality of $\sqrt{n}(N_n(i,j)/n - p_{ij})$ for fixed (i,j) as well as jointly over (i,j). Hence, we conclude that the empirical estimator $N_n(i,j)/n$ is consistent and asymptotically normal. We will explore more formal statistical inference that relies on node based data and the asymptotic normality elsewhere and give examples of analyses.

The directed preferential attachment model that we study is outlined in Section 2 and our main results on normality are summarised in Section 3. Proofs are collected in Section 4.

*This work was supported by Army MURI grant W911NF-12-1-0385 to Cornell University.

2 Model

We somewhat simplify the model used in [3, 13, 14]. At each step of the construction, a node is added; we exclude the possibility of adding only a new edge between existing nodes. The model evolves according to the following dynamics. Choose strictly positive parameters α, γ, λ and μ such that $\alpha + \gamma = 1$, and we assume in addition that $\alpha, \gamma < 1$ to avoid trivial cases.

We initiate the algorithm with a simple case: a graph G_1 with one single node (labeled 1) with a self-loop so that both its in and out degrees are 1, denoted by $D_1(1) = (1, 1)$. At stage n, we have a directed random graph $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$. If a node v is from V_n, use $D_{in}(v)$ and $D_{out}(v)$ to denote its in and out degree respectively (dependence on n is suppressed) and write $D_n(v) = (D_{in}(v), D_{out}(v))$. Then G_{n+1} is obtained from G_n as follows.

(i) With probability α a new node w is born and we add an edge leading from w to an existing node $v \in V_n$. The existing node v is chosen with probability according to its in-degree:

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in V_n \text{ is chosen}) = \frac{D_{in}(v) + \lambda}{(1 + \lambda)n}. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) With probability γ a new node w is born and we add an edge leading from an existing node $v \in V_n$ to w. The existing node v is chosen with probability according to its out-degree:

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in V_n \text{ is chosen}) = \frac{D_{out}(v) + \mu}{(1 + \mu)n}. \tag{2.2}$$

The construction makes G_n a directed graph with n nodes (i.e. $V_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$) and $n-1$ edges; the self-loop in G_1 is not counted as an edge. Note that

$$\sum_{v \in V_n} D_{in}(v) = \sum_{v \in V_n} D_{out}(v) = n,$$

so the attachment probabilities in (2.1) and (2.2) add to 1.

3 Results

For $i, j \geq 0$, let $N_n(i, j)$ denote the number of nodes with in-degree i and out-degree j in G_n, i.e.

$$N_n(i, j) = \sum_{v \in V_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{D_n(v) = (i,j)\}} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and set $\nu_n(i, j) = \mathbf{E}(N_n(i, j))$. The following lemma elaborates part of the results of Theorem 3.2 in [3], which implies that for each i and j there are non-random constants (p_{ij}) such that

$$\frac{N_n(i, j)}{n} \to p_{ij} \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, $p_{00} = 0$. We also take $N_n(i, j)$ and p_{ij} to be zero if either i or j is -1. The explicit form of the limiting degree distribution (p_{ij}) is given in [3].

Lemma 3.1. *For each $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we have for $C > 4$,*

$$|\nu_n(i, j) - np_{ij}| \leq C, \text{ for } \forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall (i, j), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{P}\left(\bigvee_{(i,j)}\left|\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n}-p_{ij}\right|\geq C\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)=o(1),\ \ as\ n\to\infty, \tag{3.3}$$

where the p_{ij} *satisfy*

$$p_{ij}=\alpha\boldsymbol{1}_{\{(i,j)=(0,1)\}}+\gamma\boldsymbol{1}_{\{(i,j)=(1,0)\}}+c_1(i-1+\lambda)p_{i-1,j}+c_2(j-1+\mu)p_{i,j-1}-\delta_{ij}p_{ij}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here we have

$$c_1=\frac{\alpha}{1+\lambda},\quad c_2=\frac{\gamma}{1+\mu},\quad \delta_{ij}=c_1(i+\lambda)+c_2(j+\mu).$$

As a stochastic process in (i,j), the proportion of nodes with in-degree i and out-degree j converges in distribution after centering and scaling to a centered Gaussian process. Asymptotic normality relies on a standard multivariate martingale central limit theorem (cf. Proposition 2.2 outlined in [12]; a statement is given in Proposition 4.1 in Section 4.2 and see also [9, 6, 7, 4] and [5, Chapter 8]). For our problem, the normality results are summarized in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix positive integers* I, O. *In the normality statement, matrices* K_{IO} *and* Σ_{IO} *are are specified in* (4.41) *and* (4.40) *respectively. Provided that* K_{IO} *is invertible, we have*

$$\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n}-p_{ij}\right):\ 0\leq i\leq I,\ 0\leq j\leq O\right)\Rightarrow N(0,K_{IO}^{-1}\Sigma_{IO}K_{IO}^{-T}). \tag{3.5}$$

4 Proofs

4.1 Proof of Lemma 3.1

By the construction of our model, at the initial stage we have $N_1(1,1)=1$, $N_1(i,j)=0$ for $(i,j)\neq(1,1)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the σ-field of information accumulated by watching the graph grow until stage n. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(N_{n+1}(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n)=&N_n(i,j)+\mathbf{E}(N_{n+1}(i,j)-N_n(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n)\\
=&N_n(i,j)+\alpha\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(0,1)\}}+\gamma\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(1,0)\}}\\
&+\mathbf{P}(\text{a new edge from } n+1 \text{ to } v\in V_n; D_n(v)=(i-1,j)|\mathcal{F}_n)\\
&+\mathbf{P}(\text{a new edge from } v\in V_n \text{ to } n+1; D_n(v)=(i,j-1)|\mathcal{F}_n)\\
&-\mathbf{P}(\text{a new edge from } n+1 \text{ to } v\in V_n; D_n(v)=(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n)\\
&-\mathbf{P}(\text{a new edge from } v\in V_n \text{ to } n+1; D_n(v)=(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n)\\
=&N_n(i,j)+\alpha\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(0,1)\}}+\gamma\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(1,0)\}}\\
&+c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(i-1,j)}{n}+c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(i,j-1)}{n}\\
&-(c_1(i+\lambda))+c_2(j+\mu))\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Taking expectations and recalling that $\nu_n(i,j):=\mathbf{E}(N_n(i,j))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{n+1}(i,j) \ =\ & \alpha\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(0,1)\}}+\gamma\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(1,0)\}}\\
&+(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n})\nu_n(i,j)+\frac{c_1(i-1+\lambda)}{n}\nu_n(i-1,j)+\frac{c_2(j-1+\mu)}{n}\nu_n(i,j-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We first show that (3.2) holds for some constant $C \geq 1$. Let $\varepsilon_n(i,j) = \nu_n(i,j) - np_{ij}$, then

$$|\varepsilon_1(1,1)| = |1 - p_{11}| \leq C, \quad |\varepsilon_1(i,j)| = |0 - p_{ij}| \leq C \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (1,1). \tag{4.3}$$

Also, for $n \geq 1$

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}(0,1) = (1 - \frac{\delta_{01}}{n})\varepsilon_n(0,1), \quad \varepsilon_{n+1}(1,0) = (1 - \frac{\delta_{10}}{n})\varepsilon_n(1,0),$$

and further for $(i,j) \notin \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{n+1}(i,j) &= \nu_{n+1}(i,j) - (n+1)p_{ij} \\ &= (1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{n})\varepsilon_n(i,j) + \frac{c_1(i-1+\lambda)}{n}\varepsilon(i-1,j) + \frac{c_2(j-1+\mu)}{n}\varepsilon(i,j-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.2) is true for $(i,j) = (0,1)$ by simple induction on n: if $|\varepsilon_n(0,1)| \leq C$, then

$$|\varepsilon_{n+1}(0,1)| \leq \left|1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}\right| C \leq C.$$

Similar arguments give that (3.2) also holds for $(i,j) = (1,0)$. For $(i,j) \notin \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$, our induction assumption $\bigvee_{(i,j)} |\varepsilon_n(i,j)| \leq C$ (which is true for $n = 1$ by (4.3)) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_{n+1}(i,j)| &= \left|(1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{n})\varepsilon_n(i,j) + \frac{c_1(i-1+\lambda)}{n}\varepsilon_n(i-1,j) + \frac{c_2(j-1+\mu)}{n}\varepsilon_n(i,j-1)\right| \\ &\leq \left|1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{n} + \frac{c_1(i-1+\lambda)}{n} + \frac{c_2(j-1+\mu)}{n}\right| C \\ &= \left|1 - \frac{c_1+c_2}{n}\right| C \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

by noting that $c_1 + c_2 \leq \alpha + \gamma = 1$. Hence,

$$\bigvee_{(i,j)} |\varepsilon_{n+1}(i,j)| = |\varepsilon_{n+1}(0,1)| \vee |\varepsilon_{n+1}(1,0)| \vee \bigvee_{(i,j)\notin\{(0,1),(1,0)\}} |\varepsilon_{n+1}(i,j)| \leq C.$$

This verifies that (3.2) holds.

Next fix (i,j) and n and define the uniformly integrable martingale

$$Y_m(i,j) = \mathbf{E}(N_n(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_m), \quad m = 0,1,\ldots,n$$

with difference sequence

$$|d_m(i,j)| = |Y_m(i,j) - Y_{m-1}(i,j)|.$$

By [3], given $\mathcal{F}_m$, determining G_n only requires the identification of which old vertices are involved at each stage, and there are at most $2n$ such choices. Under proper redistribution, changing one of these choices (say from node u to node v) will only alter the degrees of u and v in the final graph. Hence,

$$|d_m(i,j)| \leq 2, \quad m = 0,1,\ldots,n.$$

Also, $Y_0(i,j) = \mathbf{E}(N_n(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_0) = \nu_n(i,j)$, and

$$N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_k(i,j).$$

Then by the Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality [1]

$$\mathbf{P}(|N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)| \geq C\sqrt{n\log n}) \leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{C^2 n\log n}{2n\cdot 4}\right) = \frac{2}{n^{C^2/8}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(\bigvee_{(i,j)} |N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)| \geq C\sqrt{n\log n}\right) \\
&\leq \quad n^2 \bigvee_{(i,j)} \mathbf{P}(|N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)| \geq C\sqrt{n\log n}) \\
&\leq \quad 2n^{-(C^2/8-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, for $C > 4$,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigvee_{(i,j)} |N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)| \geq C\sqrt{n\log n}\right) = o(1). \tag{4.4}$$

Now (3.2) and (4.4) together imply that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigvee_{(i,j)} \left|\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n} - p_{ij}\right| \geq \frac{C}{n}(\sqrt{n\log n} - 1)\right) = o(1),$$

and this gives (3.3) for $C > 4$.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

4.2.1 Preliminary: Martingale central limit theorem.

We use a multivariate martingale central limit theorem to prove Theorem 3.1. We first state the version that we need. See Proposition 2.2 in [13] and also [9, 6, 7, 4] and [5, Chapter 8]).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{\boldsymbol{X}_{n,m}, \mathcal{G}_{n,m}, 1 \leq m \leq n\}$, $\boldsymbol{X}_{n,m} = (X_{n,m,1}, \ldots, X_{n,m,d})^T$, be a d-dimensional square-integrable martingale difference array. Consider the $d \times d$ nonnegative definite random matrices*

$$G_{n,m} = (\boldsymbol{E}(X_{n,m,i}X_{n,m,j}|\mathcal{G}_{n,m-1}), i,j = 1,2,\ldots,d), \quad V_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n} G_{n,m},$$

and suppose (A_n) is a sequence of $l \times d$ matrices with a bounded supremum norm. Assume that

(i) $A_n V_n A_n^T \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma$ as $n \to \infty$ for some deterministic (automatically nonnegatively definite) matrix Σ.

(ii) $\sum_{m\leq n} \boldsymbol{E}(X_{n,m,i}^2 1_{\{|X_{n,m,i}|>\epsilon\}}|\mathcal{G}_{n,m-1}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $i = 1,2,\ldots,d$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

Then in $\mathbb{R}^l$, as $n \to \infty$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} A_n \boldsymbol{X}_{n,m} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}, \tag{4.5}$$

a centered l-dimensional Gaussian vector with covariance matrix Σ.

4.2.2 The martingale.

We start with constructing a martingale for fixed i and j. Suppose that our martingale takes the form

$$M_n(i,j) = \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}(N_n(k,l) - \nu_n(k,l)), \tag{4.6}$$

where $b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}$ are some non-random constants. We investigate what properties $b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}$ must satisfy in order that $M_n(i,j)$ is a martingale in n.

By the model assumptions in Section 2, $n \geq i \vee j$ since at each stage we can only increase either the in or out-degree of one particular node by 1. Therefore, with probability 1, $N_n(i,j) = 0$ for $n < i \vee j$. Also for $n = 1$, almost surely, $N_1(1,1) = 1$ and $N_1(i,j) = 0$ for all other $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$. Hence,

$$N_1(i,j) - \nu_1(i,j) = 0 \quad \text{a.s. for all } (i,j),$$

and values of $b_{k,l,1}^{(i,j)}$ will not affect the form of $M_1(i,j)$. For simplicity of calculations, we set $b_{k,l,1}^{(i,j)} = 1$ for all (k,l).

Using (4.1) and (4.2), we see that in order to make $M_n(i,j)$ a martingale, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(M_{n+1}(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n) &= \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}\Big[(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n})(N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)) \\
&\quad + \frac{c_1(k-1+\lambda)}{n}(N_n(k-1,l)-\nu_n(k-1,l)) \\
&\quad + \frac{c_2(l-1+\mu)}{n}(N_n(k,l-1)-\nu_n(k,l-1))\Big] \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}(N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)) = M_n(i,j),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the martingale assumption. Thus, $b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}, 0 \leq k \leq i, 0 \leq l \leq j$, must satisfy the following recursions:

$$b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}\right) = b_{i,j,n}^{(i,j)} \tag{4.7}$$

$$b_{k,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}\right) + b_{k+1,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} = b_{k,j,n}^{(i,j)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq i-1 \tag{4.8}$$

$$b_{i,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{il}}{n}\right) + b_{i,l+1,n+1}^{(i,j)}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n} = b_{i,l,n}^{(i,j)}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq j-1 \tag{4.9}$$

$$b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n}\right) + b_{k+1,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} + b_{k,l+1,n+1}^{(i,j)}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n} = b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)},$$
$$0 \leq k \leq i-1, 0 \leq l \leq j-1. \tag{4.10}$$

Solving (4.7) gives

$$b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)} = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{m}\right)^{-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Also, (4.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n+1} &= \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}\right)^{-1} b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n} - \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}\right)^{-1} b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,n+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} \\ &= \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n-1}\right)^{-1} b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n-1} - \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n-1}\right)^{-1} b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,n}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n-1}\right] \\ &\quad - \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}\right)^{-1} b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,n+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} \\ &= \ldots = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{m}\right)^{-1} - \sum_{m=1}^{n} b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,m+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{m}\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{d}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Similarly, we can obtain from (4.9) and (4.10) that

$$b^{(i,j)}_{i,l,n+1} = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{il}}{m}\right)^{-1} - \sum_{m=1}^{n} b^{(i,j)}_{i,l+1,m+1}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{m}\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{il}}{d}\right)^{-1},$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1} = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{m}\right)^{-1} &- \sum_{m=1}^{n} b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l,m+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{m}\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{d}\right)^{-1} \\ &- \sum_{m=1}^{n} b^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1,m+1}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{m}\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{d}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.3 Properties of the coefficients $b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}$

For the calculation of the asymptotic form of conditional covariances of martingale differences, we will need the asymptotic forms of the ratio $b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}/b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}$ for all $k \le i, l \le j$, as $n \to \infty$ and we set

$$\xi^{(i,j)}_{kl} := \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}}, \quad k = 0,1,\ldots,i \text{ and } l = 0,1,\ldots,j. \tag{4.13}$$

We begin with the case $l = j$. Using (4.11) and (4.12) we know that for $0 \le k \le i-1$,

$$\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}} = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{m}\right)^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{m}\right)^{-1}} - \sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,m+1}}{m}c_1(k+\lambda)\frac{\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{d}\right)^{-1}}{\prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{m}\right)^{-1}}, \tag{4.14}$$

and from (4.14), we claim that

$$\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}} \to \xi^{(i,j)}_{kj} = (-1)^{i-k}\prod_{d=k}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\lambda+d}{i-d}\right). \tag{4.15}$$

For the first term on the right of (4.14) we have by Stirling's formula,

$$\prod_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{m}\right)^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{m}\right)^{-1}} = \prod_{m=1}^{n}\frac{m-\delta_{ij}}{m-\delta_{kj}} \sim \frac{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{kj})}n^{-(i-k)c_1} \to 0, \text{ as } n\to\infty, \tag{4.16}$$

because $i-k \geq 1$. Hence proving (4.15) requires showing

$$-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{b_{k+1,j,m+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}} \frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{m} \prod_{d=m}^{n} \left(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{d}\right)^{-1} \to (-1)^{i-k} \prod_{d=k}^{i-1} \left(\frac{\lambda+d}{i-d}\right) \tag{4.17}$$

and we prove this by induction on $k<i$. For $k=i-1$, using (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{b_{i,j,m+1}^{(i,j)}}{m} c_1(i-1+\lambda) \frac{\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{i-1,j}}{d}\right)^{-1}}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}} \\
&\quad = -c_1(i-1+\lambda) \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m} \frac{\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{i-1,j}}{d}\right)^{-1}}{\prod_{d=m+1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}} \\
&\quad = -c_1(i-1+\lambda) \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})/\Gamma(m+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{i-1,j})/\Gamma(m-\delta_{i-1,j})} \\
&\quad = -c_1(i-1+\lambda) \frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{i-1,j})} \sum_{m=1}^{n} g(m),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$g(m) = \frac{\Gamma(m-\delta_{i-1,j})}{\Gamma(m+1-\delta_{ij})}.$$

Stirling's formula gives as $n \to \infty$

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{i-1,j})} \sim n^{\delta_{i-1,j}-\delta_{ij}} = n^{-c_1}$$

and also

$$g(n) \sim n^{c_1-1}, \quad (n \to \infty).$$

So the function $g(n)$ is regularly varying and hence by Karamata's theorem on integration (see, for example, [11]), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} g(m) \sim n^{c_1}/c_1$$

and thus (4.18) is asymptotic to

$$-c_1(i-1+\lambda) n^{-c_1} n^{c_1}/c_1 = -(i-1+\lambda).$$

This verifies the base case for (4.17) and thus (4.15) is also true when $k=i-1$.

For the next step in the induction argument, we suppose that (4.17) holds for $k+1$. Then because of (4.16), (4.15) holds for k. We then evaluate the left side of (4.17) with $k+1$ replaced by k. Using (4.11), $\Gamma(t+1)=t\Gamma(t)$ and calculations similar to what was just done, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{b_{k,j,m+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}} \frac{c_1(k-1+\lambda)}{m} \prod_{d=m}^{n} \left(1-\frac{\delta_{k-1,j}}{d}\right)^{-1} \\
&\quad = -\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{b_{k,j,m+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,m+1}^{(i,j)}} \frac{c_1(k-1+\lambda)}{m} \frac{\prod_{d=m}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{k-1,j}}{d}\right)^{-1}}{\prod_{d=m+1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -c_1(k-1+\lambda)\frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{k-1,j})}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,m+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,m+1}}\frac{1}{m-\delta_{k-1,j}}\frac{\Gamma(1-\delta_{k-1,j})}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})}\prod_{d=1}^{m}\frac{d-\delta_{k-1,j}}{d-\delta_{ij}}\right\}$$

$$= -c_1(k-1+\lambda)\frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{k-1,j})}\sum_{m=1}^{n}h(m), \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(m) &= \frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,m+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,m+1}}\frac{1}{m-\delta_{k-1,j}}\frac{\Gamma(1-\delta_{k-1,j})}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})}\prod_{d=1}^{m}\frac{d-\delta_{k-1,j}}{d-\delta_{ij}} \\ &= \frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,m+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,m+1}}\times\frac{\Gamma(m+1-\delta_{k-1,j})}{\Gamma(m+1-\delta_{ij})}\frac{1}{m-\delta_{k-1,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the induction assumption means that (4.15) holds for k, we have, as $m\to\infty$, that h is regularly varying with index $(i-k+1)c_1-1$,

$$h(m)\sim m^{(i-k+1)c_1-1}(-1)^{i-k}\prod_{d=k}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\lambda+d}{i-d}\right).$$

Again, using Karamata's theorem, we have from (4.19):

$$\begin{aligned} -c_1(k-1+\lambda)\frac{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{k-1,j})}\sum_{m=1}^{n}h(m) &\to (-1)^{i-k+1}\frac{k-1+\lambda}{i-k+1}\prod_{d=k}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\lambda+d}{i-d}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{i-k+1}\prod_{d=k-1}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\lambda+d}{i-d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.17) holds for all $k=0,1,\ldots,i-1$. With $\xi^{(i,j)}_{kj}$ defined in (4.13), we have verified (4.15).

Similarly, as $n\to\infty$,

$$\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{i,l,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}}\to(-1)^{j-l}\prod_{r=k}^{j-1}\left(\frac{\mu+r}{j-r}\right)=:\xi^{(i,j)}_{il}, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}} &\sim -\left[\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{kl}}\times\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}}+\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{kl}}\times\frac{b^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1,n+1}}{b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}}\right] \\ &\to -\left[\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{kl}}\times\xi^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l}+\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{kl}}\times\xi^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1}\right]=:\xi^{(i,j)}_{kl},\quad 0\le k\le i-1,\ 0\le l\le j-1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

We set $\xi^{(i,j)}_{ij}=1,\xi^{(i,j)}_{00}=0$, and note that $\xi^{(i,j)}_{kl}=0$ if either $k>i$ or $l>j$.

4.2.4 Martingale differences.

Now we are ready to consider the martingale difference:

$$M_{n+1}(i,j)-M_n(i,j)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}N_{n+1}(k,l) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}N_n(k,l)) - \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}\nu_{n+1}(k,l) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}\nu_n(k,l)). \quad (4.22)$$

Consider the second double sum on the right side of (4.22). Recall that $\nu_n(i,j)$ satisfies the recursion in (4.2), and this together with the properties of $b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}$ in (4.7)–(4.10)) give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}\nu_{n+1}(k,l) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}\nu_n(k,l))\\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\Bigg[b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}\Bigg((1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n})\nu_n(k,l) + c_1(k-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(k-1,l)}{n}\\
&\quad + c_2(l-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(k,l-1)}{n} + \alpha\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(0,1)\}} + \gamma\mathbf{1}_{\{(i,j)=(1,0)\}}\Bigg) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}\nu_n(k,l)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

and identifying summands corresponding to $(k,l)=(i,j)$, $(k,l)=(i-1,j)$, $(k,l)=(i,j-1)$ and then the rest down to $(k,l)=(0,1)$, $(k,l)=(1,0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&=b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}\left(\nu_n(i,j)(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}) + c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i,j-1)}{n}\right)\\
&\qquad - b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n}\nu_n(i,j)\\
&\quad + b^{(i,j)}_{i-1,j,n+1}\left(\nu_n(i-1,j)(1-\frac{\delta_{i-1,j}}{n}) + c_1(i-2+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-2,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j-1)}{n}\right)\\
&\qquad - b^{(i,j)}_{i-1,j,n}\nu_n(i-1,j)\\
&\quad + b^{(i,j)}_{i,j-1,n+1}\left(\nu_n(i,j-1)(1-\frac{\delta_{i,j-1}}{n}) + c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j-1)}{n} + c_2(j-2+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i,j-2)}{n}\right)\\
&\qquad - b^{(i,j)}_{i,j-1,n}\nu_n(i,j-1)\\
&\quad + \ldots + b^{(i,j)}_{0,1,n+1}\left(\alpha + \nu_n(0,1)(1-\frac{\delta_{01}}{n})\right) - b^{(i,j)}_{0,1,n}\nu_n(0,1)\\
&\quad + b^{(i,j)}_{1,0,n+1}\left(\gamma + \nu_n(1,0)(1-\frac{\delta_{10}}{n})\right) - b^{(i,j)}_{1,0,n}\nu_n(1,0)\\
&=\alpha b^{(i,j)}_{0,1,n+1} + \gamma b^{(i,j)}_{1,0,n+1} + \Bigg[\underbrace{\nu_n(i,j)\left(b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n+1}(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}) - b^{(i,j)}_{i,j,n}\right)}_{=0 \text{ by } (4.7)}\\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}\nu_n(k,j)\underbrace{\left(b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n+1}(1-\frac{\delta_{kj}}{n}) + b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,j,n+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} - b^{(i,j)}_{k,j,n}\right)}_{=0 \text{ by } (4.8)}\\
&\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1}\nu_n(i,l)\underbrace{\left(b^{(i,j)}_{i,l,n+1}(1-\frac{\delta_{il}}{n}) + b^{(i,j)}_{i,l+1,n+1}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n} - b^{(i,j)}_{i,l,n}\right)}_{=0 \text{ by } (4.9)}\\
&\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{i-1}\underbrace{\left(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n}) + b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l,n+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} + b^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1,n+1}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n} - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}\right)}_{=0 \text{ by } (4.10)}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

$$=\alpha b^{(i,j)}_{0,1,n+1} + \gamma b^{(i,j)}_{1,0,n+1}.$$

So (4.22) now becomes

$$M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j) = \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\Big(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}N_{n+1}(k,l) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}N_n(k,l)\Big) - (\alpha b^{(i,j)}_{0,1,n+1} + \gamma b^{(i,j)}_{1,0,n+1}). \quad (4.23)$$

4.2.5 Conditional covariances.

In order to use the multivariate martingale central limit theorem as specified in Proposition 4.1, we need to calculate the asymptotic form of the following quantity:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j)}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}}\right)\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(s,t) - M_n(s,t)}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{st}}{d}\right)^{-1}}\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right], \quad (4.24)$$

for fixed pairs (i,j) and (s,t). From (4.23) we know that we need to consider in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
&b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}N_{n+1}(k,l) - b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n}N_n(k,l)\\
&\quad= b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}N_{n+1}(k,l)\\
&\qquad - \left(b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n}\right) + b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l,n+1}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} + b^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1,n+1}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n}\right)N_n(k,l)
\end{aligned}$$

(where we applied (4.10))

$$\begin{aligned}
&= b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1}(N_{n+1}(k,l) - N_n(k,l))\\
&\quad + \frac{N_n(k,l)}{n}\left(\delta_{kl} + b^{(i,j)}_{k,l,n+1} - c_1(k+\lambda)b^{(i,j)}_{k+1,l,n+1} - c_2(l+\mu)b^{(i,j)}_{k,l+1,n+1}\right). \quad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (3.1) gives $N_n(k,l)/n \to p_{kl}$ a.s. as $n\to\infty$. So dealing with (4.24) means we must calculate the asymptotic form of the conditional moments of

$$\Delta_{n+1}(i,j) := N_{n+1}(i,j) - N_n(i,j).$$

Observe that

$$\Delta_{n+1}(0,1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } \alpha,\\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \delta_{01}\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\Delta_{n+1}(1,0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } \gamma,\\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \delta_{10}\frac{N_n(1,0)}{n},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\Delta_{n+1}(k,l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } c_1(k-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(k-1,l)}{n} + c_2(l-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(k,l-1)}{n},\\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \delta_{kl}\frac{N_n(k,l)}{n},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

for $(k,l) \notin \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$. For instance, to justify (4.26), we create a $(0,1)$-node when node $n+1$ is born and attaches to V_n but we destroy a $(0,1)$-node if either $n+1$ is born and attaches to a $(0,1)$-node or $v \in V_n$ attaches to $n+1$ and has degree $(0,1)$. Then using (3.1), (3.4) and (4.26)–(4.28), for each pair (k,l),

$$\mathbf{E}(\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)|\mathcal{F}_n) \to p_{kl}, \quad \text{a.s. as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.29}$$

Therefore, from (4.11)

$$\frac{M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j)}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}} = \frac{M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j)}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}$$

and applying (4.23) and then (4.25), we have as $n \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\left[\frac{N_n(k,l)}{n}\left(\delta_{kl}\frac{b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}} - c_1(k+\lambda)\frac{b_{k+1,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}} - c_2(l+\mu)\frac{b_{k,l+1,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\right)\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\right] - \frac{(\alpha b_{0,1,n+1}^{(i,j)} + \gamma b_{1,0,n+1}^{(i,j)})}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}} \\
&\sim \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} p_{kl}\left(\delta_{kl}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)} - c_1(k+\lambda)\xi_{k+1,l}^{(i,j)} - c_2(l+\mu)\xi_{k,l+1}^{(i,j)}\right) + \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\Delta_{n+1}^{(k,l)} \\
&\qquad - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(i,j)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(i,j)}),
\end{aligned}$$

according to (3.1) and definition of $\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}$ given in (4.13), (4.20) and (4.21).

Recall (4.29), we see that as $n \to \infty$ the conditional expectation in (4.24) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\Bigg\{\left[\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\left\{p_{kl}\left(\delta_{kl}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)} - c_1(k+\lambda)\xi_{k+1,l}^{(i,j)} - c_2(l+\mu)\xi_{k,l+1}^{(i,j)}\right) + \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\Delta_{n+1}^{(k,l)}\right\} - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(i,j)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(i,j)})\right] \\
&\times \left[\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s}\left\{p_{hf}\left(\delta_{hf}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)} - c_1(h+\lambda)\xi_{h+1,f}^{(s,t)} - c_2(f+\mu)\xi_{h,f+1}^{(i,j)}\right) + \xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\Delta_{n+1}^{(h,f)}\right\}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(s,t)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(s,t)})\right]\Bigg|\mathcal{F}_n\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

and evaluating the product as four terms gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sim \left[\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} p_{kl}(\delta_{kl}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)} - c_1(k+\lambda)\xi_{k+1,l}^{(i,j)} - c_2(l+\mu)\xi_{k,l+1}^{(i,j)}) - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(i,j)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(i,j)})\right] \\
&\times \left[\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s} p_{hf}(\delta_{hf}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)} - c_1(h+\lambda)\xi_{h+1,f}^{(s,t)} - c_2(f+\mu)\xi_{h,f+1}^{(i,j)} - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(s,t)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(s,t)})\right] \\
&+ \left[\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} p_{kl}(\delta_{kl}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)} - c_1(k+\lambda)\xi_{k+1,l}^{(i,j)} - c_2(l+\mu)\xi_{k,l+1}^{(i,j)}) - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(i,j)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(i,j)})\right] \\
&\qquad \times \left(\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}p_{hf}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left[\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s} p_{hf}(\delta_{hf}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)} - c_1(h+\lambda)\xi_{h+1,f}^{(s,t)} - c_2(f+\mu)\xi_{h,f+1}^{(i,j)}) - (\alpha\xi_{01}^{(s,t)} + \gamma\xi_{10}^{(s,t)})\right] \\
&\quad\times\left(\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}p_{kl}\right) \\
&+\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\right)\left(\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right] \\
&=: A(i,j,s,t) + \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\right)\left(\sum_{f=0}^{t}\sum_{h=0}^{s}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right] \qquad (4.30)\\
&= A(i,j,s,t) + \sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)|\mathcal{F}_n\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we need the asymptotic form of the sum

$$
\sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)}\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)|\mathcal{F}_n\right], \qquad (4.31)
$$

and we divide the summation in (4.31) into four different cases.

Case I: With probability $c_1(r-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(r-1,q)}{n}$, a new edge from $n+1$ to some existing node $v \in V_n$ with $D_n(v) = (r-1,q)$ is created and this necessitates

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{n+1}(r-1,q) = -1, && \text{since an } (r-1,q)\text{-node is destroyed,}\\
&\Delta_{n+1}(r,q) = 1, && \text{since an } (r,q)\text{-node is created,}\\
&\Delta_{n+1}(0,1) = 1, && \text{since a } (0,1)\text{-node is created.}
\end{aligned}
$$

The other cases follow similar reasoning:

Case II: With probability $c_2(q-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(r,q-1)}{n}$, a new edge from some existing node $v \in V_n$ (with $D_n(v) = (r,q-1)$) to $n+1$ is created such that

$$
\Delta_{n+1}(r,q-1) = -1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(r,q) = 1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(1,0) = 1.
$$

Case III: With probability $c_1(r+\lambda)\frac{N_n(r,q)}{n}$, a new edge from $n+1$ to some existing node $v \in V_n$ (with $D_n(v) = (r,q)$) is created such that

$$
\Delta_{n+1}(r,q) = -1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(r+1,q) = 1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(0,1) = 1.
$$

Case IV: With probability $c_2(q+\mu)\frac{N_n(r,q)}{n}$, a new edge from some existing node $v \in V_n$ (with $D_n(v) = (r,q)$) to $n+1$ is created such that

$$
\Delta_{n+1}(r,q) = -1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(r,q+1) = 1, \quad \Delta_{n+1}(1,0) = 1.
$$

Take Case I as an example, we see that

$$
\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } ((k,l),(h,f)) \in \{((r,q),(r,q)),((r-1,q),(r-1,q)),\\
& ((0,1),(0,1)),((r,q),(0,1)),((0,1),(r,q))\};\\
-1 & \text{if } ((k,l),(h,f)) \in \{((r-1,q),(r,q)),((r-1,q),(0,1)),\\
& ((r,q),(r-1,q)),((0,1),(r-1,q))\};\\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \qquad (4.32)
$$

Let $E_1^{(r,q)}$ denote the event described in Case I where node $n+1$ attaches to $v \in V_n$ with $D_n(v) = (r-1,q)$. Then on the event $\mathcal{E}_1 := \bigcup_{(r,q)} E_1^{(r,q)}$, (4.32) gives asymptotically

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)} \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)1_{\mathcal{E}_1}|\mathcal{F}_n\right] \\
&\quad= \sum_{(r,q)} \mathbf{P}(E_1^{(r,q)})\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
&\quad= \sum_{q=0}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n} c_1(r-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(r-1,q)}{n}\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(s,t)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Define $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\mathcal{E}_3$ and $\mathcal{E}_4$ in the same way with respect to Case II, III and IV, and then similar calculations to (4.33) give $\sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)} \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)|\mathcal{F}_n;\mathcal{E}_i\right]$ for $i = 2,3,4$. Also, (4.26) and (4.27) show that $\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\Delta_{n+1}(0,1)\right)^2\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right]$ and $\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\Delta_{n+1}(1,0)\right)^2\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right]$ take different forms from the other cases (cf. (4.28)), so we still need to compensate for this.

Considering the case where $(k,l) = (h,f) = (0,1)$, we have, by (4.26),

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\Delta_{n+1}(0,1)\right)^2\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right] &= \xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)}\left(\alpha + \delta_{01}\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n}\right) \\
&= \xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)}\left(\alpha + c_1\lambda\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n} + c_2(1+\mu)\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)}c_2(1+\mu)\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n}$ has been covered while calculating (4.31) with respect to $\mathcal{E}_4$, so we only need to add $\xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)}\left(\alpha + c_1\lambda\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n}\right)$ to our computation. Similar arguments also apply to $(k,l) = (h,f) = (1,0)$, but instead we add $\left(\gamma + c_2\mu\frac{N_n(1,0)}{n}\right)\xi_{10}^{(i,j)}\xi_{10}^{(s,t)}$ for compensation.

Taking all these into account, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)} \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)|\mathcal{F}_n\right] \\
&= \left(\alpha + c_1\lambda\frac{N_n(0,1)}{n}\right)\xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)} + \left(\gamma + c_2\mu\frac{N_n(1,0)}{n}\right)\xi_{10}^{(i,j)}\xi_{10}^{(s,t)} \\
&\quad+ \sum_{q=0}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left\{c_1(r-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(r-1,q)}{n}\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(s,t)}\right)\right. \\
&\quad+c_2(q-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(r,q-1)}{n}\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r,q-1}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r,q-1}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
&\quad+c_1(r+\lambda)\frac{N_n(r,q)}{n}\left(\xi_{r+1,q}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{rq}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{r+1,q}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{rq}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
&\quad\left.+c_2(q+\mu)\frac{N_n(r,q)}{n}\left(\xi_{r,q+1}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{rq}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{r,q+1}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{rq}^{(s,t)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also adopt the convention that $\xi_{kl}^{(i,j)} = 0$ if either $k > i$ or $l > j$, and that $N_n(r,q) = 0$ whenever either both r and q are 0 or one of them is -1.

Now applying (3.1) again, we write

$$\sum_{(k,l)}\sum_{(h,f)} \xi_{kl}^{(i,j)}\xi_{hf}^{(s,t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{n+1}(k,l)\Delta_{n+1}(h,f)|\mathcal{F}_n\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\to \quad & (\alpha + c_1\lambda p_{01})\,\xi_{01}^{(i,j)}\xi_{01}^{(s,t)} + (\gamma + c_2\mu p_{10})\,\xi_{10}^{(i,j)}\xi_{10}^{(s,t)} \\
& + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\Big\{c_1(r-1+\lambda)p_{r-1,q}\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r-1,q}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
& + c_2(q-1+\mu)p_{r,q-1}\left(\xi_{rq}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{r,q-1}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{rq}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{r,q-1}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
& + c_1(r+\lambda)p_{rq}\left(\xi_{r+1,q}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{rq}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{r+1,q}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{rq}^{(s,t)}\right) \\
& + c_2(q+\mu)p_{rq}\left(\xi_{r,q+1}^{(i,j)} + \xi_{01}^{(i,j)} - \xi_{rq}^{(i,j)}\right)\left(\xi_{r,q+1}^{(s,t)} + \xi_{01}^{(s,t)} - \xi_{rq}^{(s,t)}\right)\Big\} =: B(i,j,s,t) \qquad (4.34)
\end{aligned}
$$

a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Putting (4.30) and (4.34) together, we conclude that, with probability 1,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j)}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}\right)^{-1}}\right)\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(s,t) - M_n(s,t)}{\prod_{d=1}^{n}\left(1 - \frac{\delta_{st}}{d}\right)^{-1}}\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right] \to C(i,j,s,t), \qquad (4.35)
$$

where $C(i,j,s,t) := A(i,j,s,t) + B(i,j,s,t)$.

Recall that $b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)} = \prod_{d=1}^{n}(1 - \delta_{ij}/d)^{-1}$. By Stirling's formula, as $n \to \infty$

$$
b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)} = \prod_{d=1}^{n}\frac{d}{d-\delta_{ij}} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta_{ij})\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})} \sim \frac{n^{\delta_{ij}}}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})}, \qquad (4.36)
$$

so that as a function of n, $b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}$ is regularly varying with index δ_{ij}. Therefore, (4.35) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(i,j) - M_n(i,j)}{n^{\delta_{ij}}}\right)\left(\frac{M_{n+1}(s,t) - M_n(s,t)}{n^{\delta_{st}}}\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_n\right] &\to \frac{C(i,j,s,t)}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})\Gamma(1-\delta_{st})} \\
&=: \tau(i,j,s,t). \qquad (4.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

4.2.6 Applying the martingale central limit theorem.

We now have the material necessary to verify the conditions in Proposition 4.1. Fix non-negative integers $I, O \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ and define for $I \vee O + 1 \le m \le n$,

$$
X_{n,m,i,j} = \frac{M_m(i,j) - M_{m-1}(i,j)}{n^{\delta_{ij}+1/2}}\ 0 \le i \le I, 0 \le j \le O,
$$

and with (s,t) satisfying $0 \le s \le I$, $0 \le t \le O$, also define

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{n,m}(i,j,s,t) &:= \mathbf{E}(X_{n,m,i,j}X_{n,m,s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{m-1}) \\
&= n^{-(\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}+1)}\mathbf{E}[(M_m(i,j) - M_{m-1}(i,j))(M_m(s,t) - M_{m-1}(s,t))|\mathcal{F}_{m-1}].
\end{aligned}
$$

We know from (4.37) that

$$
nG_{n,n}(i,j,s,t) \to \tau(i,j,s,t), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \qquad (4.38)
$$

and that

$$
G_{n,m}(i,j,s,t) = \frac{m^{\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}}}{n^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}}} mG_{m,m}(i,j,s,t).
$$

Hence, by Karamata's theorem on integration of regularly varying functions, using (4.38) we have

$$V_n(i,j,s,t) := \sum_{m=I\vee O+1}^{n} G_{n,m}(i,j,s,t) = \frac{\sum_{m=I\vee O}^{n} m^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}} G_{m,m}(i,j,s,t)}{n^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}}}$$
$$\sim \frac{n\cdot n^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}} G_{n,n}(i,j,s,t)}{(1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st})n^{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}}} \sim \frac{\tau(i,j,s,t)}{1+\delta_{ij}+\delta_{st}} = \sigma^2(i,j,s,t). \tag{4.39}$$

So the $\big((I+1)\times(O+1)\times(I+1)\times(O+1)\big)$ dimensional matrix converges

$$\Big(V_n(i,j,s,t); 0\le i,s\le I,\ 0\le j,t\le O\Big) \to \Sigma_{IO} = (\sigma^2(i,j,s,t)) \tag{4.40}$$

as required by Propositon 4.1. For each pair (i,j) such that $0\le i\le I$, $0\le j\le O$, from the definition of $M_n(i,j)$ in (4.6),

$$\frac{M_n(i,j)}{n^{\delta_{ij}+1/2}} = \sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i} \frac{b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}}{n^{\delta_{ij}}}\left(\frac{N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
$$\sim \Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})\sum_{l=0}^{j}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\xi_{k,l}^{(i,j)}\left(\frac{N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

and this lets us write the matrix equation (with $o_p(1)$ terms dropped)

$$\Big(\frac{M_n(i,j)}{n^{\delta_{ij}+1/2}}; 0\le i\le I, 0\le j\le O\Big) =: K_{IO}\left(\frac{N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)}{\sqrt{n}} :\ 0\le k\le I,\ 0\le l\le O\right)^T, \tag{4.41}$$

where we think of $\big((N_n(k,l)-\nu_n(k,l)/\sqrt{n}, 0\le k\le I,\ 0\le l\le O\big)$ as a $(I+1)\times(O+1)$ dimensional column vector. Relation (4.41) results from definitions of $\xi_{k,l}^{(i,j)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}/b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}$:

$$\frac{b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n}^{(i,j)}} = \frac{b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\frac{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n}^{(i,j)}}$$
$$\overset{(4.7)}{=} \frac{b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\overset{(4.10)}{=} \left\{\frac{b_{k,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{kl}}{n}\right) + \frac{b_{k+1,l,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\frac{c_1(k+\lambda)}{n} + \frac{b_{k,l+1,n+1}^{(i,j)}}{b_{i,j,n+1}^{(i,j)}}\frac{c_2(l+\mu)}{n}\right\}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\to \xi_{k,l}^{(i,j)}, \quad \text{as n}\to\infty,$$

provided that we set $b_{k,l,n}^{(i,j)} = 0$ if either $k = i+1$ or $l = j+1$. Then similar to (4.36),

$$b_{i,j,n}^{(i,j)} = \prod_{d=1}^{n-1}\frac{d}{d-\delta_{ij}} = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n-\delta_{ij})\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})} \sim \frac{n^{\delta_{ij}}}{\Gamma(1-\delta_{ij})},$$

thus giving the equivalence relationship in (4.41).

In order to apply Proposition 4.1 to conclude (4.5), we must verify conditions (i) and (ii) of the Proposition. Condition (i) of Proposition 4.1 is already satisfied by (4.39), so we just need to consider condition (ii). Since by (4.26)–(4.28) the differences are bounded, i.e.

$$|(N_n(i,j)-\nu_n(i,j)) - (N_{n-1}(i,j)-\nu_{n-1}(i,j))| \le 2 \text{ for all } (i,j),$$

then we claim that for n large enough, the events $\{|X_{n,m,i,j}| > \varepsilon\}$ vanish for all $m \le n$ and all (i,j). This can be observed from the following. For some constant κ_{ij},

$$\begin{aligned}\{|X_{n,m,i,j}| > \varepsilon\} &= \{|M_m(i,j) - M_{m-1}(i,j)| > \varepsilon n^{\delta_{i,j}+1/2}\} \\ &\subseteq \{|\kappa_{ij}| m^{\delta_{ij}} > \varepsilon n^{\delta_{ij}+1/2}\} \\ &\subseteq \{|\kappa_{ij}| n^{\delta_{ij}} > \varepsilon n^{\delta_{ij}+1/2}\}\end{aligned}$$

So with probability converging to 1 as $n \to \infty$, the indicator functions $\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{n,m,i,j}|>\varepsilon\}}$ vanish. This verifies the second condition, i.e.

Recall that calculations in (4.39) and (4.40) gives the covariance matrix Σ_{IO}. Applying Proposition 4.1 yields

$$K_{IO}\left(\frac{N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)}{\sqrt{n}} : 0 \le i \le I,\, 0 \le j \le O\right)^T \Rightarrow N(0, \Sigma_{I,O}) \tag{4.42}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{(I+1)(O+1)}$. If we assume further that K_{IO} is invertible, then the convergence in (4.42) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{N_n(i,j) - \nu_n(i,j)}{\sqrt{n}} : 0 \le i \le I,\, 0 \le j \le O\right) \Rightarrow N(0, K_{IO}^{-1}\Sigma_{I,O}K_{IO}^{-T}).$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, we can then obtain that for fixed I and O, (3.5) holds.

To avoid non-degenerate limits, we need to make sure that the asymptotic variances given in matrix $\Sigma_{I,O}$ are positive for fixed I and O. It suffices to check that for $0 \le i \le I$, $0 \le j \le O$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n} := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Var}(N_n(i,j))}{n} > 0. \tag{4.43}$$

From the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j) &= \mathbf{E}\left[(N_{n+1}(i,j))^2\right] - (\nu_{n+1}(i,j))^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{E}\left((N_n(i,j) + \Delta_{n+1}(i,j))^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right)\right] - (\nu_{n+1}(i,j))^2.\end{aligned}$$

For $(i,j) \notin \{(0,1),(1,0)\}$, we have from (4.28)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\left((N_n(i,j) + \Delta_{n+1}(i,j))^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ =&(N_n(i,j))^2 + 2N_n(i,j)\mathbf{E}(\Delta_{n+1}(i,j)|\mathcal{F}_n) + \mathbf{E}\left((\Delta_{n+1}(i,j))^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ =&(N_n(i,j))^2 + 2N_n(i,j)\left(c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(i-1,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(i,j-1)}{n} - \delta_{ij}\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n}\right) \\ &+ c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{N_n(i-1,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{N_n(i,j-1)}{n} + \delta_{ij}\frac{N_n(i,j)}{n},\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

and using (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nu_{n+1}(i,j))^2 \\ =&\left(\nu_n(i,j) + c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i,j-1)}{n} - \delta_{ij}\frac{\nu_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^2 \\ =&(\nu_n(i,j))^2 + 2\nu_n(i,j)\left[c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j)}{n} + c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i,j-1)}{n} - \delta_{ij}\frac{\nu_n(i,j)}{n}\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left[c_1(i-1+\lambda)\frac{\nu_n(i-1,j)}{n}+c_2(j-1+\mu)\frac{\nu_n(i,j-1)}{n}-\delta_{ij}\frac{\nu_n(i,j)}{n}\right]^2. \tag{4.45}$$

Therefore, taking the expectation on both sides of (4.44) and subtracting (4.45) from it give

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j) &= \mathcal{V}_n(i,j)\left(1-\frac{2\delta_{ij}}{n}\right)+\frac{2c_1(i-1+\lambda)}{n}\mathbf{E}[N_n(i,j)N_n(i-1,j)-\nu_n(i,j)\nu_n(i-1,j)]\\ &\quad+\frac{2c_2(j-1+\mu)}{n}\mathbf{E}[N_n(i,j)N_n(i,j-1)-\nu_n(i,j)\nu_n(i,j-1)]+R_{n+1}(i,j),\end{aligned}$$

where as $n\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}R_{n+1}(i,j) &\to c_1(i-1+\lambda)p_{i-1,j}+c_2(j-1+\mu)p_{i,j-1}+\delta_{ij}p_{ij}\\ &\quad -[c_1(i-1+\lambda)p_{i-1,j}+c_2(j-1+\mu)p_{i,j-1}-\delta_{ij}p_{ij}]^2\\ &= (1+2\delta_{ij})p_{ij}-p_{ij}^2\\ &= 2\delta_{ij}p_{ij}+p_{ij}(1-p_{ij})>0,\end{aligned}$$

since $p_{ij}\in(0,1]$ and $\delta_{ij}>0$. Note that here $p_{ij}\neq 0$ for all (i,j): the recursion in (3.4) shows that both $p_{01},p_{10}>0$ as we assume $\alpha,\gamma>0$; it also follows that $p_{ij}=0$ for all $(i,j)\notin\{(0,1),(1,0)\}$ if we assume $p_{ij}=0$ for some (i,j), which is impossible since we initiate the graph with a single node v and $D_1(v)=(1,1)$.

Let L_{ij} denote the limit of $R_{n+1}(i,j)$, then there exists n_0 such that for all $n\geq n_0$, $R_n(i,j)\geq\frac{1}{2}L_{ij}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}[N_n(i,j)N_n(i-1,j)-\nu_n(i,j)\nu_n(i-1,j)]\\ &\quad=\operatorname{cov}(N_n(i,j),N_n(i-1,j))\geq-(V_n(i,j))^{1/2}(V_n(i-1,j))^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{E}[N_n(i,j)N_n(i,j-1)-\nu_n(i,j)\nu_n(i,j-1)]\geq-(V_n(i,j))^{1/2}(V_n(i,j-1))^{1/2}.$$

We can now prove (4.43) by induction. The base case when $n=1$ is trivial. For $n\geq 2$, suppose that $\mathcal{V}_n(i-1,j)\geq a_{i-1,j}n$ and $\mathcal{V}_n(i,j-1)\geq a_{i,j-1}n$ for some $a_{i-1,j},a_{i,j-1}>0$, then for all $n\geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j) &\geq \mathcal{V}_n(i,j)\left(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{n}\right)-2c_1(i-1+\lambda)\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i-1,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2}\\ &\quad-2c_2(j-1+\mu)\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j-1)}{n}\right)^{1/2}+\frac{1}{2}L_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

We can therefore conclude that

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j)\geq\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)\left(1-\frac{K_1^{(i,j)}}{n}\right)-K_2^{(i,j)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2}+\frac{1}{2}L_{ij}\quad\forall n\geq n_0,$$

where $K_1^{(i,j)},K_2^{(i,j)}>0$ positive constants.

If $\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\leq\left(\frac{L_{ij}}{4K_2^{(i,j)}}\right)^2$, then

$$-K_2^{(i,j)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2}\geq\frac{1}{4}L_{ij}.$$

If $\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n} \geq \left(\frac{L_{ij}}{4K_2^{(i,j)}}\right)^2$, then

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{4K_2^{(i,j)}}{L_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n}.$$

In either case, for all $n \geq n_0$ we still have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j) &\geq \mathcal{V}_n(i,j)\left(1 - \frac{K_1^{(i,j)}}{n}\right) - K_2^{(i,j)}\frac{4K_2^{(i,j)}}{L_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}_n(i,j)}{n} + \frac{1}{4}L_{ij} \\
&= \mathcal{V}_n(i,j)(1 - \frac{K_1^{(i,j)} + 4\left(K_2^{(i,j)}\right)^2/L_{ij}}{n}) + \frac{1}{4}L_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $K^{(i,j)} := K_1^{(i,j)} + 4\left(K_2^{(i,j)}\right)^2/L_{ij} > 0$, then for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{n+1}(i,j) &\geq \frac{1}{4}L_{ij}\left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{K^{(i,j)}}{n}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{K^{(i,j)}}{n}\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(1 - \frac{K^{(i,j)}}{n}\right)^{n-n_0}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4}L_{ij}\frac{1 - (1 - K^{(i,j)}/n)^{n-n_0+1}}{K^{(i,j)}/n} \\
&\sim \frac{L_{ij}}{4K^{(i,j)}}(1 - e^{-K^{(i,j)}})n > 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So we are done with proving (4.43), thus completing the proof for Theorem 3.5.

References

[1] K. Azuma. Weighted sums of certain dependent random variables. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 19:357–367, 1967.

[2] A.L. Barabási and R. Albert. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, 286:509–512, 1999.

[3] B. Bollobás, C. Borgs, J. Chayes, and O. Riordan. Directed scale-free graphs. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (Baltimore, 2003)*, pages 132–139, New York, 2003. ACM.

[4] I. Crimaldi and L. Pratelli. Convergence results for multivariate martingales. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(4):571–577, 2005.

[5] R. Durrett. *Probability: Theory and Examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, fourth edition, 2010.

[6] P. Hall and C. C. Heyde. *Martingale Limit Theory and its Application*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980. Probability and Mathematical Statistics.

[7] F. Hubalek and P. Posedel. Asymptotic analysis and explicit estimation of a class of stochastic volatility models with jumps using the martingale estimating function approach. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, 48(68)(1):185–210, 2013.

[8] P.L. Krapivsky and S. Redner. Organization of growing random networks. *Physical Review E*, 63(6):066123:1–14, 2001.

[9] U. Küchler and M. Sørensen. A note on limit theorems for multivariate martingales. *Bernoulli*, 5(3):483–493, 1999.

[10] T. F. Móri. On random trees. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 39(1-2):143–155, 2002.

[11] S.I. Resnick. *Heavy Tail Phenomena: Probabilistic and Statistical Modeling*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007. ISBN: 0-387-24272-4.

[12] S.I. Resnick and G. Samorodnitsky. Asymptotic normality of degree counts in a preferential attachment model. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1405.4882.pdf, 2015. Submitted.

[13] S.I. Resnick and G. Samorodnitsky. Tauberian theory for multivariate regularly varying distributions with application to preferential attachment networks. *Extremes*, 2015.

[14] G. Samorodnitsky, S.I. Resnick, D. Towsley, R. Davis, A. Willis, and P. Wan. Nonstandard regular variation of in-degree and out-degree in the preferential attachment model. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 53(1), March 2016.

Tiandong Wang, School of Operations Research and Information Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853. Email: tw398@cornell.edu

Sidney I. Resnick, School of Operations Research and Information Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853. Email: sir1@cornell.edu